STUDY ON THE RESPONSE OF THE CHILD PROTECTION SYSTEM TO VIOLENCE AGAINST CHILDREN IN RAJASTHAN





Enfold Proactive Health Trust March 2022

In Collaboration with Resource Institute for Human Rights (RIHR) and UNICEF-Rajasthan





TABLE OF CONTENTS

Contrib	utors	8
List of A	bbreviations	9
List of T	Tables and Figures	
Chapter	1: Introduction- About the Study	14
1.1.	Background	14
1.2.	Methodology	15
1.2.1.	Sample	18
1.2.2.	Documents analysed	19
1.2.3.	Judgments analysed	20
1.3.	Data Collection and Transfer	21
1.4.	Data Analysis	22
1.5.	Limitations	23
1.6.	Stakeholder Participation	23
1.7.	Research Outputs	23
Chapte	2: Crimes against Children in Rajasthan	25
2.1.	Crimes Against Children in Rajasthan	25
2.1.1.	Incidence of Crimes against Children	25
2.1.2.	Disposal and Pendency of Cases	29
2.1.3.	Conviction Rate	30
2.2.	Situation of Child Labour	30
2.2.1.	Rescues undertaken	31
2.2.2.	Action taken in Child Labour cases	35
2.2.4.	District specific data	38
2.3.	Child trafficking for Labour	40
2.3.1.	Rescues undertaken	40
2.3.2.	Action Taken	42
2.4.	Child Sexual Abuse	44
2.4.1.	Registered cases of child sexual abuse against girls	44
2.4.2.	Action Taken	45
2.5.	Unsolved cases of Missing and kidnapped children	46
2.5.1.	District-specific Data	49
2.6.	Special Operations on Child Protection Issues in Kota Division	52
2.7.	Areas for further examination	54
Chapte	3: Child Protection during the COVID-19 pandemic	56
3.1.	Impact of COVID-19 on the situation of children	56
3.2.	Child Protection Measures Undertaken	58
3.3.	Impact on the functioning of the Child Protection System	62
3.3.1.	Overall Impact	62
3.3.2.	Impact of the pandemic on the functioning of the JJBs	64
3.3.3.	Impact of the pandemic on the functioning of the CWCs	65

3.3.4.	Impact on the conduct of trials in POCSO cases	67
3.4.	Challenges faced during the pandemic by different stakeholders	67
3.4.1.	Challenges faced by the JJBs	67
3.4.2.	Challenges faced by the CWCs	67
3.4.3.	Challenges faced by Childline	67
3.5.	Issues	68
Chapte	r 4: Judicial Response in Cases under POCSO Act, 2012	70
4.1.	Profile of Child Victims of Sexual Offences	70
4.2.	Profile of the Informants	73
4.3.	Profile of the accused	74
4.4.	Nature of Charges	75
4.4.1.	Charges under POCSO	76
4.4.2.	Charges under IPC	77
4.4.3.	Charges under other Laws	79
4.5.	Time taken from lodging of FIR till disposal of cases	79
4.6.	Compliance with Child-friendly Procedures	81
4.6.1.	Inconsistent Protection of Identity of Victim	81
4.6.2.	Direct examination by the defence	82
4.6.3.	Possible exposure of the child victim to the accused outside the courtroom	83
4.6.4.	Creation of a child-friendly environment	83
4.6.5.	Legal representation of victims is rare	85
4.6.6.	Assistance of interpreters, translators, special educators, and experts	86
4.7.	Nature of Outcomes	86
4.8.	Nature of Testimony of Victims and Outcomes	88
4.8.1.	Overall Trends	88
4.8.2.	District-wise nature of testimony	92
4.8.3.	Victim's testimony and outcome of the case in the context of child's relationship)
	with the accused	93
4.8.4.	Relationship with accused, victim's testimony, and outcomes:	94
4.8.5.	Outcomes in "Romantic" Cases	95
4.8.6.	Disposal Time vis-a-vis Nature of Testimony and Outcomes	97
4.8.7.	Court's conclusion on victim's age and outcomes	99
4.8.8.	Victim's age not determined:	102
4.8.9.	Age-group of victim vis-a-vis testimony	106
4.9.	Nature of Convictions	107
4.9.1.	Convictions under POCSO Act	108
4.9.2.	Convictions under IPC	109
4.9.3.	Convictions under other Laws	110
4.9.4.	Factors that influenced convictions	112
4.10.	Nature of Acquittals	115

4.11.	Sentencing Patterns	118
4.12.	Compensation 1	
4.12.1.	Compensation by Special Courts	
4.12.2.	Trends in compensation awarded by Special Courts 1	
4.12.3.	Compensation disbursed by RSLSA	125
4.13.	Issues and Challenges	126
Chapte	r 5: Judicial Response to Child and Adolescent Labour	129
5.1.	Universe of Cases	129
5.1.1.	District-wise distribution of cases	129
5.2.	Profile of Victims, Informants and Accused	130
5.3.	Site of Work	132
5.4.	Nature of Charges	133
5.4.1.	Charges under the JJ Act	135
5.4.2.	Charges under CALPRA	136
5.4.3.	Charges under IPC	136
5.5.	Nature of Outcomes	137
5.6.	Nature of Testimony	140
5.7.	Basis of Convictions	141
5.8.	Basis of Acquittals	141
5.9.	Challenges faced by stakeholders in cases of Child Labour	146
5.10.	Issues and Challenges	148
5.10.1.	Absence of a Support System	148
5.10.2.	Need for clarity on children who come under the purview of CALPRA	148
5.10.3.	Gaps in rescue operations by police/AHTU	148
5.10.4.	Gaps in investigation	149
5.10.5.	Gaps in prosecution	149
5.10.6.	Delay in disposal of cases	149
5.10.7.	Failure to award compensation	149
Chapte	r 6: Response of CWCs to Violence against Children	150
6.1.	Nature of Cases	150
6.2.	Nature of Inquiries	152
6.3.	Age Determination	152
6.4.	Application of the Best Interest Principle	153
6.5.	Nature of dispositions	155
6.6.	Time taken for disposal	157
6.7.	Appointment of Support Persons in POCSO Cases	158
6.8.	Recommendation for Compensation and Special Relief	160
6.9.	Role in Child Marriage	161
6.10.	Issues and Challenges	162
6.10.1.	Failure to order Social Investigation Report (SIR)	162

6.10.2.	Absence of "speaking orders"	162
6.10.3.	Failure to assess risks to safety and protection needs before restoration	162
6.10.4.	Absence of consideration of non-institutional alternatives	163
6.10.5.	Support persons not appointed/utilised and concerns about Bal Mitra Scheme	163
6.10.6.	Nature of Counselling	164
6.10.7.	Absence of follow-up	165
6.10.8.	Absence of reference to Individual Care Plan (ICP)	166
6.10.9.	Treatment of adult women as children by CWCs	166
6.10.10	. Inconsistent use of Forms by CWCs	167
6.10.11	. Affidavits of children and parents	167
Chapter	r 7: Challenges faced by Stakeholders	170
7.1.	Challenges faced in cases of Child Sexual Abuse	170
7.2.	Challenges in cases of Child Marriage	170
7.3.	Systemic Challenges	172
7.3.1.	Structural Challenges	172
7.3.2.	Procedural Challenges	173
7.3.3.	Dealing with certain categories of children	174
7.3.4.	Insensitive responses of stakeholders	174
7.3.5.	Absence of coordination and inter-departmental linkages	174
Chapter	r 8: Recommendations for Key Stakeholders	176
8.1.	Department for Child Rights	176
	Structural Measures	176
	Ensuring Convergence	177
	Strengthening Response to Child and Adolescent Labour	177
	Strengthening Response in Sexual Offences against Children	178
	Capacity Building	178
	Advancing Children's Rights	179
	Additional measures to improve prevention, reporting and response	180
8.2.	Department of Home	180
	Structural Measures	180
	Capacity building	181
	Child and adolescent labour	181
	Sexual Offences against Children	182
	Disaggregate Data	182
8.3.	Hon'ble High Court of Rajasthan	182
8.4.	Department of Education	183
8.5.	Department of Medical, Health and Family Welfare	183
8.6.	Department of Prosecutions	183
8.7.	Department of Labour	184
8.8.	Special Courts	184

8.9.	Special Public Prosecutors	184
8.10.	Child Welfare Committees	185
8.11.	Juvenile Justice Boards	186
8.12.	Police	186
8.13.	DCPU	186
8.14.	Rajasthan Judicial Academy	187
8.15.	RSLSA	187
8.16.	Childline	187

Annexure 1: Parameters for selection of districts

Annexure 2: Unstarred questions in the Rajasthan Legislative Assembly

Annexure 3: Response to Question 260 in the Rajasthan Legislative Assembly

Annexure 4: Compensation data shared by RSLSA

Annexure 5: Notification about gatekeeping measures during the pandemic



66

India's Constitution recognises the vulnerability of children and empowers the Indian State to frame special laws for children under Article 15(3) and enjoins the State to ensure that childhood is protected from exploitation. Special legislations such as the Child and Adolescent Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986 (CALPRA), Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006 (PCMA), Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 (JJ Act, 2015), and the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 (POCSO Act) recognise specific offences against children and some of these laws also stipulate child-friendly procedures, hence, are substantive and procedural in nature. The JJ Act, 2015, in particular, provides an overarching framework to ensure care, protection, treatment and rehabilitation of children in conflict with the law or in need of State care and protection, and also prescribes offences against children. It establishes authorities such as the Child Welfare Committee, Juvenile Justice Board, and agencies such as the Special Juvenile Police Unit, and District Child Protection Unit, with a view to ensure an effective response to children in difficult circumstances.

Available crime data provides a general overview of the nature of crimes against children reported in Rajasthan. For instance, in 2019, 7385 incidents of crimes against children were reported in Rajasthan, a jump from 5185 cases in 2018. Of these, 3132 cases (42%) constituted kidnapping related offences, 1313 cases (18%) were registered under rape, 596 cases (8%) under the POCSO Act, and 596 cases (8%) under the JJ Act, 2015. The pendency rate in courts of cases of crimes against children was 91% in 2018, decreased to 89) and the Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006 (19 cases). This is surprising considering that as per the National Family Health Survey-5, 2019-21, 24.4% women in Rajasthan, between 20-24 years were married

increased to 92% in 2020. The conviction rate in cases of crimes against children was 47% in 2018, climbed to 54% in 2019 and further increased to 59% in 2020. The data in 2019 also revealed that very few cases were registered under special legislations such as the Child and Adolescent Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986 (48 cases) and the Prohibition y Health Survey-5, 2019-21, 24.4% women in Rajasthan, between 20-24 years were married

"



India's Constitution recognises the vulnerability of children and empowers the Indian State to frame special laws for children under Article 15(3) and enjoins the State to ensure that childhood is protected from exploitation. Special legislations such as the Child and Adolescent Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986 (CALPRA), Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006 (PCMA), Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 (JJ Act, 2015), and the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 (POCSO Act) recognise specific offences against children and some of these laws also stipulate child-friendly procedures, hence, are substantive and procedural in nature. The JJ Act, 2015, in particular, provides an overarching framework to ensure care, protection, treatment and rehabilitation of children in conflict with the law or in need of State care and protection, and also prescribes offences against children. It establishes authorities such as the Child Welfare Committee, Juvenile Justice Board, and agencies such as the Special Juvenile Police Unit, and District Child Protection Unit, with a view to ensure an effective response to children in difficult circumstances.

CONTRIBUTORS

Authors	Swagata Raha	Dr. Archana Mehendale	Gopika Nangia	Sreedevi Nair
Working Group Members	Ankush Singh (UNICEF) Manna Biswas (UNICEF)	Dharmveer Yadav (UNICEF) Sanjay Nirala (UNICEF)	Govind Beniwal (Department of Child Rights, Rajasthan) Vijay Goyal (RIHR)	Manish Singh
Ethics Review Committee	Bharti Ali (HAQ)	Nilima Mehta	Arlene Manoharan (Enfold Proactive Health Trust)	
External Reviewers	Bharti Ali (HAQ)	Maharukh Adenwalla (Advocate)		
Design Assistance	Shruthi Ramakrishnan			
Research Assistance	Loveleen Kaur	Shivangi Puri		
Interns	Priyakshi Kumra Shiwani Agrawal	Rabiya Joshi Sujit Kumar	Saima Anjum Yoshita Srivastava	Shambhavi Kant



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADCP	Assistant Director Child Protection	
AHTU	Anti-Human Trafficking Unit	
CALPRA	Child and Adolescent Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986	
CCI	Child Care Institution	
CICL	Child in conflict with the law	
CJM	Chief Judicial Magistrate	
СМРО	Child Marriage Prohibition Officer	
Cr.PC	Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973	
CWC	Child Welfare Committee	
DCPU	District Child Protection Unit	
DLSA	District Legal Services Authority	
FIR	First Information Report	
FSL	Forensic Science Laboratory	
ICP	Individual Care Plan	
Ю	Investigating Officer	
IPC	Indian Penal Code	
IT Act	Information Technology Act, 2000	
JJB	Juvenile Justice Board	
ОН	Observation Home	
NCRB	National Crime Records Bureau	
NFHS	National Family Health Survey	
NGO	Non-governmental Organization	
РСМА	Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006	
POCSO	Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012	
PP	Public Prosecutor	
RSLSA	Rajasthan State Legal Services Authority	
SC/ST Act	Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989	
SDM	Sub-Divisional Magistrate	
SIR	Social Investigation Report	
SJPU	Special Juvenile Police Unit	
SLL	Special Local Laws	
SP	Superintendent of Police	
WCD	Ministry of Women and Child Development	

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

List of Tables

Chapter 1: Introduction- About the Study
--

- Table 1.1: Districts Selected from Divisions
- Table 1.2: Key Informants interviewed
- Table 1.3: Number of judgments obtained and analysed from districts in Rajasthan
- Table 1.4: District-wise distribution of cases

Chapter 2: Crimes against Children in Rajasthan

- Table 2.1: Reporting of select crimes against children in Rajasthan and all-India (2019-2020)
- Table 2.2: Pendency rate in cases of crimes against children in Rajasthan and India
- Table 2.3: Conviction rate in cases of crimes against children in Rajasthan and India
- Table 2.4: Year-wise in-state and out-of-state child labourers rescued
- Table 2.5: Place of Origin of out-of-state child labourers (boys)
- Table 2.6: Top five districts with high number of child labourers rescued
- Table 2.7: Cases registered and action taken on child labour by the police
- Table 2.8: Details of arrests and sentences
- Table 2.9: In-state and Out-of-state children trafficked for labour rescued in 2019 and 2020
- Table 2.10: Cases registered and action taken on child trafficking under Section 370 IPC
- Table 2.11: Incidents of missing and kidnapped minors in Kota Division in 2019 &2020
- Table 2.12: Number of missing and kidnapped minors traced alive in Kota division in 2019 & 2020
- Table 2.13: Number of persons arrested for kidnapping and child trafficking
- Table 2.14: Special Operations Conducted in Rajasthan during 2019-2020
- Table 2.15: Implementation of Special Operations on Missing Children in Kota
- Table 2.16: Implementation of Special Operations on Child Labour in Kota

Chapter 4: Judicial Response in Cases under POCSO Act, 2012

- Table 4.1: Most common provisions used for Charges under Sections in IPC
- Table 4.2: Other charges under IPC
- Table 4.3: District-wise time taken from lodging of FIR till disposal of case
- Table 4.4: District-wise orders of compensation

Chapter 5: Judicial Response to Child and Adolescent Labour

- Table 5.1: District-wise distribution of cases
- Table 5.2: District-wise outcomes
- Table 5.3: Time taken to dispose cases disaggregated by year

List of Figures

Chapter 2: Crimes against Children in Rajasthan

- Fig. 2.1: Total Crimes (IPC+SLL) against Children in Rajasthan and India 2018 to 2020
- Fig 2.2: Incidence of Crimes(per lakh of population) against Children in Rajasthan and India (2019-2020)
- Fig 2.3: Reporting of select crimes against children in Rajasthan (2019-2020)
- Fig. 2.4: Age-profile of children kidnapped and abducted in Rajasthan (2019-2020)
- Fig. 2.5: Geographical profile of children rescued (2019-20)
- Fig 2.6: Sex profile of children rescued
- Fig. 2.7: Action taken by the police in child labour cases
- Fig. 2.8: Persons arrested and given challan in cases of child labour
- Fig. 2.9: Districts with the highest number of arrests in 2019 and 2020 in cases of child labour
- Fig. 2.10: Age-group wise number of child labour cases registered in Jodhpur
- Fig. 2.11: Place of Work for Children in Jodhpur
- Fig. 2.12: In-state and Out-of-state children trafficked for labour rescued in 2019 and 2020
- Fig. 2.13: Action taken on child trafficking
- Fig. 2.14: Details of arrests and challan in cases of child trafficking
- Fig. 2.15: Registered CSA cases against girls (2019-2020)
- Fig. 2.16: Top three districts with high number of registered cases of CSA against girls (2019-2020)
- Fig. 2.17: Action Taken by the Police in CSA cases
- Fig. 2.18: Districts with high pendency rate for investigation in CSA cases in 2020
- Fig. 2.19: Sex profile of Missing and Kidnapped Children (2019 and 2020)
- Fig. 2.20: Unsolved cases of missing and kidnapped children by age and sex
- Fig. 2.21: Unsolved Cases of missing and kidnapped children disaggregated by age and year of complaint

Chapter 4: Judicial Response in Cases under POCSO Act, 2012

- Fig. 4.1: Profile of the Victim
- Fig. 4.2: Age-profile of child victims based on documentary evidence
- Fig. 4.3: Court's conclusion on minority where documentary evidence was available
- Fig. 4.4: Profile of Informants
- Fig. 4.5: Profile of Accused Persons
- Fig. 4.6: Profile of accused persons known to the victim
- Fig. 4.7: Nature of Charges Against the Accused
- Fig. 4.8: Number of cases with charges under the POCSO Act
- Fig. 4.9: Time taken from FIR till disposal of POCSO cases
- Fig. 4.10: Disclosure of Identity of the Victim
- Fig. 4.11: Cross-examination of victim by the defence

- Fig. 4.12: Absence of Child Friendly Procedures
- Fig. 4.13: Reference to victim's advocate
- Fig. 4.14: Overall Percentage of Convictions and Acquittals (2019-2020)
- Fig. 4.15: Conviction rate in crimes against children in Rajasthan and India
- Fig. 4.16: District-wise convictions and acquittals
- Fig. 4.17: Nature of Victim's Testimony
- Fig. 4.18: Conviction/acquittal vis-a-vis victim's testimony against the accused
- Fig. 4.19: Percentage of victims declared hostile
- Fig. 4.20: Testimony of the victim by district
- Fig. 4.21: Testimony of victim and conviction of accused according to profile of the accused
- Fig. 4.22: Outcomes in Romantic Cases
- Fig. 4.23: Disposal time and Outcomes and Nature of Testimony
- Fig. 4.24: Disposal Times and Outcomes
- Fig. 4.26: Special Court's opinion on minority of the victim
- Fig. 4.27: Testimony of victim according to age
- Fig. 4.28: Convictions under various Acts
- Fig. 4.29: Convictions under POCSO
- Fig. 4.30: Convictions under IPC
- Fig. 4.31: Factors influencing convictions
- Fig. 4.32: Factors influencing acquittals
- Fig. 4.33: Sentencing Patterns in POCSO cases

Chapter 5: Judicial Response to Child and Adolescent Labour

- Fig. 5.1: Profile of the victim
- Fig. 5.2: Profile of the informant
- Fig. 5.3: Profile of the accused
- Fig. 5.4: Sites of rescue/work in child-labour cases
- Fig. 5.5: Number of charges under various acts in child labour
- Fig. 5.6: Nature of charges in child labour cases
- Fig. 5.7: Conviction and Acquittal rate in child labour cases
- Fig. 5.8: Time taken from registration of complaint till final disposal
- Fig. 5.9: Nature of probation in child labour cases
- Fig. 5.10: Victim testimony vis a vis outcome in Child Labour cases

Chapter 1: Introduction- About the Study

1.1. Background

India's Constitution recognises the vulnerability of children and empowers the Indian State to frame special laws for children under Article 15(3) and enjoins the State to ensure that childhood is protected from exploitation. Special legislations such as the Child and Adolescent Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986 (CALPRA), Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006 (PCMA), Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 (JJ Act, 2015), and the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 (POCSO Act) recognise specific offences against children and some of these laws also stipulate child-friendly procedures, hence, are substantive and procedural in nature. The JJ Act, 2015, in particular, provides an overarching framework to ensure care, protection, treatment and rehabilitation of children in conflict with the law or in need of State care and protection, and also prescribes offences against children. It establishes authorities such as the Child Welfare Committee, Juvenile Justice Board, and agencies such as the Special Juvenile Police Unit, and District Child Protection Unit, with a view to ensure an effective response to children in difficult circumstances.



National Crime Records Bureau, Crime in India 2019 Vol.1, Table 4A.1,p.297, https://ncrb.gov.in/en/crime-india-2019-0 National Crime Records Bureau, Crimes In India 2018 Vol.1, Table 4A.1,p. 297, https://ncrb.gov.in/en/crime-india-2018 National Crime Records Bureau, Crime in India 2019 Vol.1, Table 4A.1,p.350-369 National Crime Records Bureau, Crimes In India 2018 Vol.1, Table 4A.5,p. 333 National Crime Records Bureau, Crimes In India 2019 Vol.1, Table 4A.5,p. 333
National Crime Records Bureau, Crimes In India 2020 Vol.1, Table 4A.5,p. 337, https://ncrb.gov.in/en/Crime-in-India-2020

National Crime Records Bureau, Crimes In India 2019 Vol.1, Table 4A.2(ii),p. 315 National Family Health Survey -5 (2019-2021), State Fact Sheet - Rajasthan, p.3, http://rchiips.org/nfhs/NFHS-5_FCTS/Rajasthan.pdf

ILO (n.d.), Child Labour in India, https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/--asia/---ro-bangkok/---sro-new_delhi/documents/publication/wcms_557089.pdf

Available crime data provides a general overview of the nature of crimes against children reported in Rajasthan. For instance, in 2019, 7385 incidents of crimes against children were reported in Rajasthan, a jump from 5185 cases in 2018. Of these, 3132 cases (42%) constituted kidnapping related offences, 1313 cases (18%) were registered under rape, 596 cases (8%) under the POCSO Act, and 596 cases (8%) under the JJ Act, 2015. The pendency rate in courts of cases of crimes against children was 91% in 2018, decreased to 89% in 2019 and increased to 92% in 2020. The conviction rate in cases of crimes against children was 47% in 2018, climbed to 54% in 2019 and further increased to 59% in 2020. The data in 2019 also revealed that very few cases were registered under special legislations such as the Child and Adolescent Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986 (48 cases) and the Prohibition of Child Marriage Act, 2006 (19 cases). This is surprising considering that as per the National Family Health Survey-5, 2019-21, 24.4% women in Rajasthan, between 20-24 years were married before they attained 18 years. Further, Rajasthan has ranked third among the top five States with high incidence of child labour. While there are several factors that affect the reporting of an offence, it is important to understand how matters that are reported are being dealt with by the police, CWCs, judiciary, and others, and the extent to which child-friendly procedures are being adhered. It is also necessary to document the challenges being faced by stakeholders involved, and identify the gaps in the response mechanism.

Further, since March 2020, India, like all countries around the world, was hit by the COVID-19 pandemic resulting in school closures, migrations, and loss of livelihood on an unprecedented scale. Existing evidence on the impact of pandemics and epidemics on child protection shows how vulnerabilities are exacerbated, and children are exposed to multiple risks. Yet evidence on the issue is limited, particularly at the regional level in India. Given the nature of the pandemic, it is important to understand its impact on reporting, as well as the response of the criminal justice system and the child protection system.

The role of the community in reporting violence against children and supporting children during their journey in the justice system also needs to be examined. This is important for identification of specific measures and reforms that can strengthen community responses and ensure access to justice for child victims of violence even during a pandemic.

It was in this backdrop that an exploratory study was undertaken by Enfold Proactive Health Trust in partnership with RIHR and UNICEF Rajasthan. The study was conducted between July to December 2021.



1.2. Methodology

The Study seeks to unpack the nature of reported cases of offences against children, the response of key actors such as the police, Special Juvenile Police Unit, Child Welfare Committee, District Child Protection Unit, and the judiciary, as well as the gaps in the response system. The specific objectives of the Study are as follows:

- a. Examine the nature of cases of violence against children in Rajasthan, profile of the victims, complainants, and accused, legal provisions invoked, and the site of violence.
- b. Examine the adherence to child-friendly procedures during the reporting and investigation of offences against children by the police and Special Juvenile Police Unit, and the gaps, challenges, and good practices.
- c. Examine the adherence to prescribed procedures and interventions made by the Child Welfare Committee in matters relating to violence against children in need of care and protection, gaps, challenges and good practices.
- d. Study the nature of disposal of cases of offences against children by courts, punishment imposed upon the offender and compensation ordered, and identify gaps, challenges, and good practices.
- e. Assess the extent of interface between the criminal justice system and the juvenile justice system in cases of violence against children.
- f. Understand the impact of COVID-19 on the reporting of violence against children to the police, and the response of the police, CWCs, courts, and other authorities.
- g. Frame recommendations for strengthening response of the State Government and the key actors such as the police, Childline, CWC, DCPU, judiciary, Rajasthan State Commission for Protection of Child Rights and the community to violence against children in Rajasthan.





